Quantitative Conjunctival Provocation Test for Controlled Clinical Trials
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Summary
Background: The conjunctival provocation test (CPT) is a diagnostic procedure for the assessment of allergic diseases. Photographs are taken before and after provocation increasing the redness of the conjunctiva due to hyperemia.

Objective: We propose and evaluate an automatic image processing pipeline for objective and quantitative CPT.

Method: After scale normalization based on intrinsic image features, the conjunctiva region of interest (ROI) is segmented combining thresholding, edge detection and Hough transform. Redness of the ROI is measured from 0 to 1 by the average pixel redness, which is defined by truncated projection in HSV space. In total, 92 images from an observational diagnostic study are processed for evaluation. The database contains images from two visits for assessment of the test-retest reliability (46 images per visit).

Result: All images were successfully processed by the algorithm. The relative redness increment correlates between the two visits with Pearson’s r = 0.672 (p < .001). Linear correlation of the automatic measure is larger than the manual measure (r = 0.59). This indicates a higher reproducibility and stability of the automatic method.

Conclusion: We presented a robust and effective way to objectify CPT. The algorithm operates on low resolution, is fast and requires no manual input. Quantitative CPT measures can now be established as surrogate endpoint in controlled clinical trials.

1. Introduction

Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis is a widespread disease of great epidemiologic importance: about 500 million people worldwide are affected by allergic rhinitis, its prevalence is over 20% in most countries, and its associated health costs are steadily increasing [1]. In general, the conjunctival provocation test (CPT) serves as a model of allergic rhinoconjunctivitis [2]. In CPT, solutions of increasing allergen concentration are administered to the lower conjunctival sac of the eye until the allergic reaction exceeds a predefined threshold value. Each concentration increment is followed by 10 minutes waiting time.

As of today, evaluation of CPT is not standardized by international guidelines. Different scoring systems exist based on subjective criteria, such as itching and visual assessment of the ocular hyperemia. Aiming to objectify CPT, several digital image processing methods have been proposed in the literature [3–8]. Among others, these methods include vessel feature analysis such as density, width, color or branching by means of thresholding, edge detection, fractal analysis or densitometry. Recently, Dogan et al. have provided a more comprehensive review on digital image processing applied to photographic CPT documentation [3].

However, most of these methods either rely on manual input (e.g. marking vessel pixels, selecting the region-of-interest) or assume a standardized image acquisition setup, such as a slit-lamp. Still, the core problem of digital CPT is the design of segmentation and color assessment of the conjunctiva, which must be robust according to imaging resolution, scale, partial occlusions, speckle reflections, and illumination conditions.

In previous work, digital analysis was applied to objectify CPT measurements, and our first work was focused on a four-filter system to enhance color contrast, depict vessel edges and rims, and reduce background noise [3]. This pipeline, however, was error prone due to the manual interaction required in several of the processing steps. In [9], we aimed at further automation of the process but the strictly circular region of interest (ROI) was still determined manually.
In this paper, we propose a self-calibrating fully automatic image processing chain for quantitative CPT. The core concept of this work has been presented at the German Annual Meeting on Medical Informatics and has been published as abstract [10].

2. Methods

In this section, we describe the image acquisition, the processing pipeline, the implementation of the algorithm, and the evaluation study performed to prove reliability of our method.

2.1 Image Acquisition

During CPT, several images of the patient’s eye are acquired with a digital camera. In one eye a control solution, in the other eye an allergen solution is applied. The first image is recorded before administration of any allergen solution and is used as reference in evaluation. After application of the allergen dose, a new image is recorded.

Figure 1 shows some examples of resulting images, collected from several subjects at different visits. The photographs differ significantly in conjunctiva position and size, color intensity and contrast, focus, noise, and in the direction of view. Hence, automatic image processing must be robustly coping with these variations and effectively self-calibrating for objective and reproducible quantitative measurements.

2.2 Image Processing

The overall procedure we are suggesting is depicted in Figure 2: In order to achieve invariance against eye-to-camera distance, scale normalization is applied based on intrinsic image features. Then, the conjunctiva region-of-interest (ROI) is segmented in both images independently, which again relies on robust intrinsic image features. Subsequent registration and intersection of the conjunctiva ROIs ensure that the redness score is calculated over the same subregion of the eye for both the reference and the response image. Finally, redness measurement is performed independently in both registered ROIs. The increase in redness is quantified by the

Figure 1
Digital photography of CPT varies considerably in scale, position, color, contrast, and blur.

Figure 2
Overall image processing pipeline
2.2.1 Intrinsic Feature Extraction

Due to its circular shape, size, and contrast, the iris is a prominent and robustly detectable intrinsic landmark. Its location is used for the scale normalization and the segmentation step of the pipeline. It is localized using a modified circular Hough transform on the Canny edge map of the image downscaled to 256 px × 192 px resolution (Figure 3).

Our version of the circular Hough transform uses gradient information obtained by convolution with a Sobel kernel in horizontal and vertical directions. Furthermore, Hough votes are only cast upwards in the image, i.e. only for circle candidates whose center is above the voting pixel [11]. The rationale is that most images do not contain the upper part of the iris circle and voting downwards would lead to spurious votes from the upper eyelid. The Hough space accumulator array is smoothed by an asymmetric sphere Gaussian convolution kernel of σ_x = 1.20 px in x_0 and y_0 direction and σ_r = 0.75 px in r direction to allow robust maximum detection. The circle corresponding to this maximum is regarded as the iris outline (Figure 5). The Hough transform delivers its radius r and its center coordinates (x_0, y_0).

2.2.2 Scale Normalization

Since the photos may be taken at uncontrolled eye-to-camera distance, on different cameras with different lenses and sensors, scale normalization is performed to ensure that the parameterization of later steps of the algorithm will be effective for all cases. Uniform rescaling is applied such that the radius r of the iris becomes r = 170 px in both of the images. Linear interpolation is used in this step [12].

2.2.3 Conjunctiva Segmentation

There are several challenges in designing automatic segmentation of the conjunctiva [5]. First, the border between the conjunctiva formix and tarsi is not always sharp
and sharp edges may also correspond to blood vessels instead of the contour of the conjunctiva. Second, the color and intensity of the conjunctiva varies across individuals and is depending on the allergen dose. Therefore, a threshold-based approach is combined with an edge-based technique (Figure 4). This also allows to compute the segmentation step on a further downscaled image (iris radius $r = 50$ px) for efficiency reasons.

For the threshold-based approach, the image is converted from red, green, blue (RGB) color space to YUV color space, where the luminance $Y$ is defined as

$$Y = 0.2126 R + 0.7152 G + 0.0722 B$$

A binary mask $M_Y$ is acquired by thresholding in the $Y$ channel, which is empirically more distinctive than the grayscale intensity. To determine that threshold, we define a rectangle below and centered to the middle point if the iris. The dimension in width and height are $3r$ and $4r$, respectively, where $r$ again denotes the iris radius. The threshold is computed as the mean $Y$ value in the cropped rectangle. Noise is removed from the mask by morphological closing and opening with a disk structuring element of radius 1 and 4 respectively, yielding the mask labeled $M_Y'$.

Edges are detected by applying the Canny technique (labeled $M_C$). Gaussian blurring is adjusted to $\sigma = 4$ to avoid the detection of blood vessels. Since edges obtained from the Canny technique are usually disconnected regarding a certain contour such as the conjunctiva, morphological operations are applied to fill gaps between edge pieces: The image is closed with a disk with a radius of 4 px. Next, any remaining isolated edge pieces under the length of 50 px are removed. Then a stronger closing is performed with a disk of radius 8 px. The resulting binary image is labeled $M_C'$.

$M_C'$ is then subtracted from $M_Y'$, to detach false positive areas from the connected component of the conjunctiva. In the resulting binary image

$$M = M'_Y - M'_C$$

the conjunctiva is selected as the adjacent connected component below the iris circle.

The perimeter of the conjunctiva component is subsequently smoothed by a convex hull operation and the iris disk is subtracted again to finally end with the conjunctiva ROI $M'$.

Figure 5 shows some intermediate results of the segmentation step. The top row illustrates a case where the thresholding approach would suffice alone, but the edge-based approach would not (as the contour is discontinuous), while the bottom row shows the opposite case: $M_C'$ has a continuous contour but the thresholded approach yields false positive areas. The combination of the two approaches ensures correct segmentation in both of these cases.

### 2.2.4 ROI Registration and Intersection

Due to differences in eyelid openness and changes in gaze direction, some parts of the conjunctiva may be exposed in only either of the reference or the response image. In support of a quantitative comparison of the redness in both images, the same part of the eye must be measured. Since the shapes of the ROIs cannot be considered as reliable,
and to their color. The range of \( C \) is between 0 and 1. The relative redness score \( C_{\text{REL}} \) is computed as

\[
C_{\text{REL}} = \frac{C_{\text{RESP}} - C_{\text{REF}}}{C_{\text{REF}}}
\]

where the indices \( \text{REF} \) and \( \text{RESP} \) refer to the reference and the response images, respectively.

Figure 9 illustrates the redness measurement, where each pixel is encoded as gray value in the corresponding ROIs. According to the increased redness, the brightness of the pixels in the response image is increased.

2.3 Implementation

The algorithm is developed using the NetBeans IDE (v7.3) in the Java programming language (JDK 7) over the ImageJ public domain image processing platform (v1.46r) [15]. Third party open-source libraries used are ImageScience (v2.4.1) [16], JAMA (v1.0.3) [17], JavaGeom (v0.11.1) [18], customized versions of the FindConnected Regions [19] and JavaSIFT [20] ImageJ plugins and the Google Guava 14.0 general purpose library [21].

2.4 Evaluation Study

To evaluate the effectiveness and robustness of our image processing algorithm, images of an explorative pilot study have been used. The database contains 92 images of 23 patients with allergic rhinoconjunctivitis.

The study was aimed at determining the reproducibility level of CPT. The individual test-dose, which evokes the allergic response...
above the predefined threshold, was determined at Visit 1. At Visit 2 and after about four weeks at Visit 3, the individually determined test-dose was applied (the concentration varied across subjects but not across visits). During and between the visits, the subjects got neither any therapy nor any drugs. The database contains four images per subject: one reference and one response image at each of the Visits 2 and 3.

An Olympus PEN E-P1 (Japan) digital camera with an Olympus M. Zuiko Digital ED 60mm f/2.8 macro lens was used. The pixel matrix yields 4032 px × 3024 px, instantaneously reduced to 1024 px × 768 px. Controlled illumination was provided by a Hama 12 LED-Macro ring light (Germany) at color temperature of 5,500 K. The subjects placed their heads on a simply constructed chin and forehead rest [3]. We apply the proposed algorithm to determine the redness scores CREF, CRESP, and CREL. Mean and standard deviation is computed for all C-scores in both visits separately. Next, the correlation coefficient (Pearson’s r) is examined between Visit 2 and Visit 3 regarding CREF, CRESP, and CREL. Repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used to test differences in redness measures across CREF, CRESP, and Visits 1 and 2.

Furthermore, we compare the relative measures obtained automatically by our algorithm with a relative redness score CMAN that has been calculated with MATLAB on manual segmentation.

### 3. Results

All 92 images were processed successfully with our algorithm. All three scores from both visits correlate statistically significant (Table 1). The highest correlation is observed for CRESP (Pearson’s r = 0.857, p < 10^-6). Redness measures showed an overall difference across levels of visits and reference/response scores (F(3,66) = 28.41, p < 10^-4), CREF and CRESP were significantly different (F(1,66) = 84.74, p < 10^-4), while measures at Visits 2 and 3 were not different (F(1,66) = 0.0004, p = 0.984).

There was no difference between the automatic relative measures and the manual measures (F(3,66) = 1.00, p = 0.398). Linear correlation (Pearson’s r, Table 1) of the automatic measure (r = 0.67) is larger than the manual measure (r = 0.59), which indicates a higher reproducibility and stability of the automatic method.

Table 1  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Visit 2</th>
<th>Visit 3</th>
<th>Correlation (Pearson’s r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference redness CREF</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.535 (p &lt; .01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response redness CRESP</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.857 (p &lt; 10^-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative redness CREL</td>
<td>2.430</td>
<td>1.747</td>
<td>0.672 (p &lt; .001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual relative measure CMAN</td>
<td>2.387</td>
<td>2.339</td>
<td>0.590 (p &lt; .01)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Discussion

A simple and robust, fully automated image processing chain has been proposed for objective evaluation of the CPT. The effectiveness of our approach is confirmed by evaluation on the database of a test-retest reliability study. With our approach, quantitative CPT measures can be established as surrogate endpoints in controlled clinical trials, where robustness and reproducibility of automatic computations will reduce the number of subjects to be included and hence, the costs of such trials.

Basically, our algorithm consists of three steps: i) ROI extraction, (ii) ROI alignment, and (ii) relative redness determination. Previous approaches to automated measurement of bulbar redness mainly rely on rectangular boxes that are extracted manually as ROI. For instance, rectangles such as 500 × 400 and 400 × 300 pixels [8] and

![Figure 10](image-url)  
Graphical visualization of the test-retest reliability study
The algorithm proposed in this paper predominantly consists of simple operations such as thresholding, edge detection and morphological operations. The computationally more expensive processing steps such as the circular Hough transform are performed in lower resolution yielding an efficient and fast algorithm. In line with Fukushima and Tomita [7], our implementation is based on ImageJ (available at: http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij), distributed by the National Institutes of Health, and other Java libraries. On average, a pair of 1 M px images is processed with all steps of the proposed pipeline in 3.44 s ± 0.58 s (measured on an Dell inspiron 7720 computer equipped with Intel® core™ i7-3630QM CPU @ 2.40 GHz). This enables the integration of the entire image capturing and analysis process into a smart device such as a smart phone. Furthermore, images and/or measurements can be transferred directly into an electronic case report form using web services [23]. Although a generalized usability of the proposed method still needs critical examination, our future aim is constructing an integrated mobile Health application [24], by which a smartphone is converted into a medical diagnostic device if simply an app is installed [25, 26].
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