Discovering Subgroups Using Descriptive Models of Adverse Outcomes in Medical Care
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Summary
Objectives: Hospital discharge databases store hundreds of thousands of patients. These datasets are usually used by health insurance companies to process claims from hospitals, but they also represent a rich source of information about the patterns of medical care. The proposed subgroup discovery method aims to improve the efficiency of detecting interpretable subgroups in data.

Methods: Supervised descriptive rule discovery techniques can prove inefficient in cases when target class samples represent only an extremely small amount of all available samples. Our approach aims to balance the number of samples in target and control groups prior to subgroup discovery process. Additionally, we introduce some improvements to an existing subgroup discovery algorithm enhancing the user experience and making the descriptive data mining process and visualization of rules more user friendly.

Results: Instance-based subspace subgroup discovery introduced in this paper is demonstrated on hospital discharge data with focus on medical errors. In general, the number of patients with a recorded diagnosis related to a medical error is relatively small in comparison to patients where medical errors did not occur. The ability to produce comprehensible and simple models with high degree of confidence, support, and predictive power using the proposed method is demonstrated.

Conclusions: This paper introduces a subspace subgroup discovery process that can be applied in all settings where a large number of samples with relatively small number of target class samples are present. The proposed method is implemented in Weka machine learning environment and is available at http://ri.fzv.uni-mb.si/ssd.

1. Introduction

In contrast to past decades when a typical medical data set in data mining research contained at most hundreds of data samples, nowadays, data miners work with datasets containing data collected from millions of patients. Consequently, the data mining techniques follow the trend, and new data mining solutions are presented to cope with huge amounts of data. This paper presents a novel approach to subgroup discovery in data sets where the group of interest consists of an extremely low percentage of all available samples. Examples of such data sets are hospital discharge data sets where data from hundred thousands of patients, together with information on hundreds of different diagnosis and procedure codes, are stored. These datasets are usually used by health insurance companies to process claims from hospitals, but they also represent a rich source of information about the patterns of medical care. Therefore, hospital discharge data have been previously used to assess risk patterns for different diseases [1] or for proposal of new approaches to comorbidity factor calculations [2]. The same data also are interesting from the economic perspective to estimate the costs of specific diseases or processes in healthcare on the global level. Such studies often focus on medical errors and increased medical costs related to them.

Our approach to the analysis of medical errors and extraction of potentially surprising patterns is based on subgroup discovery. In contrast to decision trees, the subgroup discovery methods attempt to induce a model for a specific subgroup of the population instead of a general model suitable for classification of data. However, it has to be noted that classification trees also can be interpreted in a descriptive manner, and the subgroups also can have predictive abilities. In comparison to other hospital discharges, medical error-related diagnoses represent extremely small subgroups that are appropriate for application of subgroup discovery algorithms. Additionally, our approach allows using one or more diagnosis codes as a conjunctive or disjunctive target class for subgroup discovery algorithm. The resulting rules are represented in a very intuitive discovery tree form that is visually more appropriate for analysis, compared with classical rule representation. By visually tuning the descriptive tree to fit the predefined size of a...
Subgroup discovery [3, 4] is a supervised descriptive rule discovery technique [5] for extraction of rules describing interesting relationships with regard to a target class group. Subgroup discovery techniques have been used in some experiments from medical domain recently [6–8]. Multiple measures were proposed to evaluate the quality of extracted rules, most of them focusing on maximizing the coverage and unusual statistical characteristics of the samples in the target group. In our approach, we use HotSpot algorithm, originally implemented in Weka machine learning framework [9], with some adaptations in visualization, rule compactness, and statistical significance testing. Original implementation of Weka HotSpot algorithm is an implementation of simple subgroup discovery with a minimal support constraint that aims to maximize the confidence of extracted rules with regard to the selected target class. In contrast to most subgroup discovery techniques, the HotSpot rules are presented in a node-link structure that is called a descriptive tree in this paper. One should note that there is a significant difference between decision and descriptive trees, although classification rule discovery often is employed in real-world data mining applications in which the objective is to find interesting rather than predictive rules [10]. Another important difference between both types of trees is related to interpretation of the trees. In case of decision tree, usually, only terminal nodes represent rules, whereas in descriptive trees, each node (except the root node) represents a single rule.

2. Methods

In this section, we describe a novel approach to visual presentation of subgroup discovery rules in datasets with high number of samples and relatively small target subgroups. To allow the effective mining of subgroup discovery rules presented in the form of descriptive tree, we propose a few modifications to the original Weka HotSpot algorithm. Our adapted HotSpot2 and the proposed Subspace Subgroup Discovery (SSD) algorithms can be obtained as Weka packages (http://ri.fzv.uni-mb.si/ ssd). HotSpot2 still allows user-defined branching parameter, but it is only applied in the initial branching phase to increase the diversity of generated rules. Binary branching is used in all of the following nodes to keep the width of the top-down representation as narrow as possible. Therefore, each node obtained at the initial branching phase can contain only a single split value (numeric attributes) or a single nominal value. Additionally, we introduce the automated visual tuning option that allows totally automated fitting of the descriptive tree in predefined visual boundaries. Default values for maximal dimensions of the decision tree are set to 1280 × 800 pixels corresponding to Widescreen eXtended Graphics Array (WXGA) video standard that can be displayed on most displays in use today. To fit the tree in the predefined boundaries, a variant of binary search-based tuning is applied to minimal improvement parameter. The user can still change the minimal support constraint and influence the minimal coverage of the produced rules. As proposed by Gamberger and Lavrač [11], the default minimal rule support value in SSD is set to $\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}$, where $n_t$ represents the number of target class samples and $n$ represents all available samples.

Another improvement to the original HotSpot algorithm includes testing of statistical significance for all rules in the descriptive tree, thus introducing the components of contrast-set mining. HotSpot2 uses $\chi^2$ test to evaluate the significance of rules comparing differences in coverage (i.e., confidence) between selected target class and control groups. Adjustment of critical values to reduce the risk of false discoveries was done using layered critical values as proposed by Webb [12]. Layered adjustment of critical values aims to reduce the level of strictness, especially on shorter rules that often are rejected by statistical significance tests using direct adjustment of critical value. The following adjustment is used in HotSpot2:

$$\alpha'_L = \frac{\alpha}{L \times H_L}$$

where $L$ is the current level of search, and $H_L$ is the number of all hypotheses that should be tested on level $L$. In case of numerical attributes, $H_L = \sum_i 2(|A_i|) - 1$ and $H_L = \sum |A_i|$ for discrete attributes, where $|A_i|$ represents all possible values of attribute $A_i$. Rules that do not pass the $\chi^2$ test are not removed from the tree but are marked with red color and the user decides whether to use such rules. Additionally, the unadjusted $p$-value is displayed in each node, that is, for each rule.

HotSpot2 presented above is an integral part of the proposed SSD approach to rule discovery in large datasets. Similar to generalization of subgroup discovery called Exceptional Model Mining by Leman et al. [13], SSD defines three groups of attributes. The first group of attributes $\{A_{1}^{D}, ..., A_{k}^{D}\}$ represents $k$ descriptive at-
Access to the full text is restricted. Please subscribe or purchase access to read the complete article.
rules was obtained. The first rule has only one condition — that is, $P99.04 \rightarrow D998.51 \land D998.59$, where P99.04 stands for transfusion of packed cells. Rules with only one condition usually represent known facts already confirmed by previous studies (e.g., [18]). The second branch of the tree represents the rule $P54.91 \land P88.01 \rightarrow D998.51 \land D998.59$, where P54.91 (percutaneous abdominal drainage) and absence of P88.01 (computerized axial tomography of abdomen) characterize postoperative infections with a rule confidence of 79.01% and a strong statistical significance ($p < 0.01$).

In the second experiment, the same number of samples from both classes was used. Top 5 rules from the descriptive tree are presented in Table 1 including some quantitative measures for each rule. Altogether the descriptive tree consisted of 20 rules that still fit the single screen boundaries set for the automated visual tuning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$37.26 \land 54.59 \land 40.11 \land 68.29 \land 47.19$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$37.26 \land 38.93 \land 46.43 \land 86.69$</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$37.26 \land 54.59 \land 40.11 \land 68.29 \land 47.56$</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$37.26 \land 54.59 \land 40.11 \land 47.19 \land 99.04$</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$37.26 \land 54.59 \land 40.11 \land 47.19 \land 47.56$</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In section 3, we can observe even higher confidence values for obtained rules. Most of the rules achieved confidence scores higher than 90%, with one of the rules reaching the support of 195 cases. More specifically, rule number 2 is related to postoperative infections in 195 of 212 records it covers. According to the study by Azevedo and Jorge [20], one should observe the conviction measure to estimate the potential of rules. Again, rule number 2 demonstrates the highest conviction of 5.89.

Similar to a study of Abu-Hanna et al. [21], we conducted an additional experiment where we compare our proposed method to a classical decision tree algorithm. A Weka based implementation of CART [22] called SimpleCart was used to build a decision tree with the same target attribute as in our subgroup discovery experiments. Using the default settings in Weka environment results in large and incomprehensible decision trees. In case of the first experiment (totally balanced data) we obtained a decision tree consisting of 131 nodes, whereas in using the second dataset, the resulting decision tree consisted of 121 nodes. To simplify the decision trees, we increased a minimal support in leaves setting. In accordance to $\frac{\sqrt{n_1}}{n}$ equation, we set minimal support to 31 in both datasets. Using higher minimal number of samples in nodes, we obtained much simpler and more comprehensive decision trees. Both trees contained two decision nodes and three leaves. The root node in both trees contained the same procedure code – that is, P38.93 (venous catheterization, not elsewhere classified). The only difference between the trees was the choice of the second decision node – P86.22 (excisional debridement Of wound, infection, or burn) for the first dataset and P54.59 for the second. The obtained results show fairly similar derived models in case of evenly balanced dataset where the number of obtained rules from a decision tree and a tree derived from our proposed method both contain three rules. In case of the second dataset SimpleCart produced a simpler model compared with our proposed method. However, the average confidence of the three decision tree rules (0.81, 0.52, and 0.62) was much lower than that of the descriptive tree rules.

5. Discussion and Conclusions
As demonstrated in the previous sections, there is a big potential in intelligent analysis of hospital discharge data with focus on improving safety and quality in healthcare. Our experiments show the analysis workflow for identification of related procedures, based on selected target groups identified by diagnoses highly related to adverse outcomes in hospitals. In Section 4, we demonstrate the ability of the proposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>ICD-9 CM Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>37.26</td>
<td>Catheter based invasive electrophysiologic testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.93</td>
<td>Venous catheterization, not elsewhere classified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.76</td>
<td>Open and other sigmoidectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46.73</td>
<td>Suture of laceration of small intestine, except duodenum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.19</td>
<td>Other (non-laparoscopic) incidental appendectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.59</td>
<td>Other (non-laparoscopic) lysis of peritoneal adhesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.29</td>
<td>Other excision or destruction of lesion of uterus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86.69</td>
<td>Other skin graft to other sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.04</td>
<td>Transfusion of packed cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
method to identify groups of patients with co-occurring diagnoses that were previously not identified in the literature. By temporal analysis of the available data, it also would be possible to track changes and identify specific trends in patient safety risks. A similar approach using temporal organization of hospital discharge data and classification was presented in our recent study [23]. Based on such results, it is possible to organize global campaigns aimed at warning and educating medical personnel in hospitals (e.g., the example from Section 4 could be used to alert the personnel of especially frequent cases of postoperative infections in specific subgroups of patients). On the other hand, intelligent analysis of hospital discharge data can be used to extract new knowledge in the form of different disease or procedure combinations that were never thought of being associated with high risk for patient. The main contributions of the proposed method lie in the following aspects: 1) solving the problem of the highly unbalanced data using the nearest neighbor-based subsampling to allow statistical testing of subgroup significance and 2) visual optimization of descriptive trees that allow better interpretability.

The primary focus of this paper is introduction of SSD methodology that can be applied in all settings where a large number of samples with relatively small sample of target class are present. There are a lot of possibilities to improve the current versions of SSD and HotSpot2. Currently, a very simple subgroup quality metric focusing only on improvement of rule confidence is used. It would be desirable to support more rule quality metrics, although this also would require more knowledge from users, when deciding which metric to use. This study and SSD implementation uses only discrete target attributes when multiple target attributes are used, although it is possible to use numerical target attributes in the original HotSpot implementation. Therefore, it would be possible to offer numerical target support also for SSD to extend the possibilities of data analysis. Further improvements of the existing algorithms could be achieved by rule pruning algorithms.
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